THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

–

WRIT PETITION NOs.7229 AND 7307 OF 2006

–

DATED: 18-04-2006.

W.P.NO.7229 OF 2006

–

Vadde Bala Swamy, Muthireddy pally village,

Kodair mandal, Mahaboobnagar district

…..Petitioner

1.The Station House Officer, Kodair

Police Station, Mahaboobnagar District

And 2 others.

….Respondents

W.P.NO.7307 OF 2006

–

Vadde Chennamma, , Muthireddy pally village,

Kodair mandal, Mahaboobnagar district

…..Petitioner

1.The Station House Officer, Kodair

Police Station, Mahaboobnagar District

And 2 others.

….Respondents

COMMON ORDER (Per the Hon'ble Smt. Justice T.Meena Kumari)

The above two are the taken up cases on the Telegrams and Fax messages, dated 08-04-2006 and 10-04-2006 issued by the petitioners seeking a direction in the nature of Writ of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents to produce the wife of the petitioner in W.P.No.7229 of 2006 viz., Vadde Butchamma and also husband of the petitioner in W.P.No.7307 of 2006 before this Court, who were illegally detained by Kollapur police, apprehending danger to their lives and set them at liberty.

The 2nd respondent filed counter denying the allegations in the Telegrams and Fax messages. It is contended in the counter that the alleged detenue No.1 is not required in any case pending with any of the Police Stations in his circle. After receipt of communications, he made enquiries into the matter and found that the alleged detenue No.1 is moving freely and attending to her day-to-day work. It is further stated that the alleged detenu No.2 is an accused in Cr.No.18 of 2006 for the offence punishable under Section 302 I.P.C. of Kodair Police Station, who is absconding since the date of registration of the crime and in that connection, police visited the house of the alleged detenus on several occasions for securing the information with regard to the whereabouts of the alleged detenu No.2. With a view to pre-empt the police from proceedings with the investigation, the telegrams were issued with false allegations.

In view of the averments made in the counter to the effect that the alleged detenue No.1 is moving freely and attending to her day-to-day work and the alleged detenu No.2 is an accused for the offence under Section 302 I.P.C. and with a view to pre-empt the police from investigation, the above two telegrams were issued, we are of the view that no further orders are necessary in these writ petitions.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed. No costs.

T.MEENA KUMARI, J

K.C.BHANU, J

Dated: 18-04-2006

Hsd